

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION

United States of America,)	
)	Case No. 3:15 CR 60
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
-v-)	Report & Recommendation
)	
Conrado Salgado-Borban,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

give consideration to the advisory Federal Sentencing Guidelines and of the possibility of a departure from the guidelines, notified of his/her rights, advised that he/she was waiving all his/her rights except the right to counsel, and, if such were the case, his/her right to appeal, and otherwise provided with the information prescribed in Fed. R. Crim. P. 11.

3. The undersigned questioned the defendant under oath about the knowing, intelligent, and voluntary nature of the plea of guilty, and finds that the defendant's plea was offered knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily.
4. The parties provided the undersigned with sufficient information about the charged offense(s) and the defendant's conduct to establish a factual basis for the plea.

In light of the foregoing and the record submitted herewith, the undersigned finds that the defendant's plea was knowing, intelligent, and voluntary, and that all requirements imposed by the United States Constitution and Fed. R. Crim. P. 11 have been satisfied. Therefore, the undersigned recommends that the plea of guilty be accepted and a finding of guilty be entered by the court.

/s/ James R. Knepp, II
James R. Knepp, II
United States Magistrate Judge

Notice

Please take notice that as of this date the Magistrate's Report and Recommendation attached hereto has been filed.

Please be advised that, pursuant to rule 5.3(b) of the Local Rules for this District, the parties have 14 days after being served in which to file objections to said Report and Recommendation. A

party desiring to respond to an objection must do so within ten 14 days after the objection has been served.

Please be further advised that the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals, in United States v. Walters 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981) held that failure to file a timely objection to a Magistrate's Report and Recommendation foreclosed appeal to the Court of Appeals. In Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985), the Supreme Court upheld that authority of the Court of Appeals to condition the right of appeal on the filing of timely objections to a Report and Recommendation.